

Exhibit A

REDACTED
IN ITS ENTIRETY

Exhibit B

*Power Intergrations, Inc. v.
Fairchild Semiconductor International, Inc.*

*Hearing
February 2, 2006*

*Hawkins Reporting Service
715 N King Street
Suite 3
Wilmington, DE United States of America 19801
(302) 658-6697*

*Original File 020206~1.TXT, 35 Pages
Min-U-Script® File ID: 0909548355*

Word Index included with this Min-U-Script®

their [22] compensation is to present proof that their [23] products, the Fairchild products are incorporated [24] on the bill of materials or sold to companies

Page 22

[1] with incorporating them and importing them into [2] the U.S., selling them all over the world, in [3] fact.

[4] Fairchild suggests that it has [5] provided all the information called for by Power [6] Integrations' motion to compel. But even looking [7] at the four-page motion to compel, that is not [8] true.

[9] Page 4 of Power Integrations' motion [10] to compel lists four topics. Four categories, I [11] should say.

[12] Number one, up-to-date sales [13] information including information broken down by [14] customer product in quarterly months. They [15] haven't given us the end-product information.

[16] Number two, a Rule 30(b)6 deposition [17] of a knowledgeable witness. Again, Mr. Barnes is [18] not a knowledgeable witness, and Fairchild's [19] claim that we don't have access to the [20] information is not, in fact, what Mr. Barnes [21] said. Mr. Barnes said, I don't have any [22] information about these topics walking through a [23] number of topics.

[24] Fairchild cannot be permitted to

Page 23

[1] take an aggressive position with respect to what [2] is discoverable with respect to foreign sales, [3] teach the 30(b)6 witnesses only about the narrow [4] subset of documents that it has said are [5] discoverable in contrast to the Court's August [6] 9th order, about what's discoverable, and then [7] throw up its hands when Power Integrations tries [8] to get more information that we know is available [9] based on these depositions.

[10] Category 3, H.K. Kim is in there. [11] Fairchild has not provided him, has not said they [12] will provide him for deposition. Mr. Kim has [13] been in discussion for a long time, since at [14] least July, if not late June in our exchanges [15] back and forth in preparing for the Korean [16] depositions.

[17] And category four, the other [18] responsive relevant documents called out during [19] the meet and confer as noted today. That is now [20] a very narrow subset of documents called on in my [21] January 17th letter in an attempt to formally [22] resolve these disputes.

[23] We're not getting discovery, Your [24] Honor, and we'd ask the Court to compel that

Page 24

[1] discovery.

[2] THE COURT: What is it about the [3]

information that is in the Excel format that — [4] I've read your paper. But tell me, again, what [5] it is that you would additionally want in Excel.

[6] MR. HEADLEY: The key information [7] today in Excel — and just to put this in [8] context, Your Honor, the parties are preparing [9] damage expert reports. Power Integrations, in [10] fact, served its damage expert report.

[11] The key there was ease of use. [12] Fairchild had access to information in Excel [13] format. That was the format in which it was [14] stored in the ordinary course of business, could [15] be obtained and manipulated.

[16] After moving to compel, Fairchild [17] provided some information in Excel. So the issue [18] of the Excel documents is not entirely the most [19] critical issue. But, again, the design wind [20] tracking database is what we want today. Right.

[21] THE COURT: I understand all that [22] Excel argument. Now, you've gotten it.

[23] But what's missing in the Excel [24] formatted information specifically?

Page 25

[1] MR. HEADLEY: Yes, Your Honor. This [2] is the information from the design wind tracking [3] database that Mr. Beaver talked about. Having [4] this employee, Eric Guere, G-U-E-R-E — I believe [5] this is a database where he reconciles these [6] claims for compensation.

[7] THE COURT: You didn't get that?

[8] MR. HEADLEY: We haven't seen [9] anything about this, even though that database —

[10] THE COURT: No. Now, hold on a [11] second.

[12] Where is that information? It's in [13] Excel format. The witness testified about it.

[14] Is that information available?

[15] MR. De BLANK: I think there's some [16] confusion about what that information is. The [17] information in the design wind tracking database [18] that Mr. Headley is referring to is not [19] information that shows the downstream products.

[20] THE COURT: Forget what it shows.

[21] MR. De BLANK: Right. The [22] information shows —

[23] THE COURT: Just tell me if it [24] exists.

Page 26

[1] MR. De BLANK: Yes, it exists. And [2] that information has been provided.

[3] What it shows is it's a database, as [4] Mr. Headley explained —

[5] THE COURT: So the Guere information [6] has been given to Power

Integrations?

[7] MR. De BLANK: What that database [8] shows is the —

[9] THE COURT: That's not helping.

[10] MR. De BLANK: Sorry.

[11] THE COURT: The question is: Has [12] that been given to Power Integrations?

[13] See, I don't think it has.

[14] MR. De BLANK: Okay.

[15] THE COURT: So you've got to give [16] them that information.

[17] MR. De BLANK: Okay.

[18] THE COURT: Then we'll find out what [19] it shows and doesn't show. I understand what [20] you're saying.

[21] It doesn't meet their request. But [22] a witness testified about it. It's in Excel [23] format.

[24] It's easy enough to give over.

Page 27

[1] There's a protective order.

[2] So give them that reference [3] information.

[4] MR. De BLANK: Yes, Your Honor.

[5] THE COURT: And that's about as far [6] as I think I'm willing to go to grant your motion [7] to compel except for the elusive Mr. Kim. Now, [8] if he went to Phoenix, how many times a year, or [9] Portland, or anyplace? Where would that be, west [10] of the Mississippi?

[11] MR. HEADLEY: Your Honor, during the [12] deposition of Jeff Barnes —

[13] THE COURT: I didn't ask you.

[14] MR. HEADLEY: I thought you were. [15] Oh, pardon me.

[16] THE COURT: How often does he get [17] somewhere in the Continental United States west [18] of the Mississippi?

[19] MR. De BLANK: I do not know the [20] answer to that question. I can find out for Your [21] Honor.

[22] I can find out probably this [23] afternoon probably by the time of the Markman [24] this afternoon.

Page 28

[1] THE COURT: See if he can get there [2] within the next 30 or 40 days for five hours. [3] See, that is what I'm going to do.

[4] I'd like Mr. Kim to travel to the [5] West Coast and spend five hours with you and the [6] folks from Power Integrations.

[7] MR. De BLANK: Okay.

[8] THE COURT: That would be their five [9] hours unilaterally, you know, to depose him.

[10] MR. De BLANK: Yes.

[11] THE COURT: That's all I'm going to [12] give him on Mr. Kim. I don't really think [13] Mr. Kim is going to help them.

[14] But, you know, it's kind of like [15] conspiracies in government. Once you get to the [16] bottom of it, you find out that it's either a lot [17] bigger than you thought and you don't want to [18] tackle it, or it didn't exist.

[19] Giving them five hours with Mr. Kim [20] somewhere on the West Coast will pretty much, I [21] think, resolve the issue, one way or another.

[22] MR. De BLANK: Yes, Your Honor. If [23] I could ask —

[24] THE COURT: Sure.

Page 29

[1] MR. De BLANK: I can check whether [2] Mr. Kim is going to plan to come to the U.S. in [3] the next 30 to 40 days.

[4] THE COURT: No, you pick the date.

[5] MR. De BLANK: And if he does, I [6] will make him available for the five hours as the [7] Court asks. If Mr. Kim — and I don't believe he [8] travels regularly to the U.S.

[9] His responsibilities are in Korea. [10] If he's not coming to the U.S. and Power [11] Integrations insists on this deposition, we would [12] insist that they bear the cost of Mr. Kim's [13] travel, and lodging, and transportation.

[14] THE COURT: They'd be happy to pay [15] for him to come from Korea.

[16] MR. De BLANK: Very good.

[17] THE COURT: Would you fly him first [18] class, business class? What can we get out of [19] you?

[20] Northwest.

[21] MR. SCHERKENBACH: We're all [22] looking [22] at the client, Your Honor.

[23] THE COURT: They'll pay for it, and [24] we'll make his trip comfortable.

Page 30

[1] MR. De BLANK: Very good.

[2] THE COURT: But I really want him [3] here in the next 30 to 40 days. I looked at the [4] calendar before I came in.

[5] I think that's a time frame that [6] will work for what else has to be done.

[7] MR. De BLANK: Yes, Your Honor.

[8] THE COURT: The Excel, the [9] information that I've ordered, if you get that [10] over to them in the next 15 working days, —

[11] MR. De BLANK: Yes, Your Honor.

[12] THE COURT: — that would be helpful. [13] If you have a problem, talk with me if you need a [14] little more time. And the rest of the motion, I [15] think is really resolved.

[16] But I'll order those two things on [17] the pending motion to compel. And then I'll get [18] you an answer on your motion about the inventors [19] next week after I

read their papers.

[20] MR. De BLANK: Thank you, Your [21] Honor.

[22] MR. HEADLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

[23] THE COURT: Okay. I'm not going to [24] enter another order, because we're

Page 31

[1] environmentally conscious here, and we're saving [2] trees.

[3] I'm just going to so order the [4] transcript. Is there any question about what [5] I've ordered?

[6] MR. HEADLEY: Not from Power [7] Integrations, Your Honor.

[8] MR. De BLANK: No, Your Honor.

[9] THE COURT: Okay. All right. Are [10] we all — do we have everybody here we need for [11] one o'clock?

[12] MR. De BLANK: No, Your Honor. The [13] rest of the Fairchild team will be here by one [14] o'clock.

[15] THE COURT: Oh, you have —

[16] MR. De BLANK: We have a team.

[17] THE COURT: — an entourage?

[18] MR. De BLANK: Yes.

[19] THE COURT: Okay. And how long are [20] the anticipated presentations?

[21] MR. SCHERKENBACH: Your Honor, I'll [22] be doing it for Power Integrations. I think my [23] opening remarks are maybe 45 minutes at most.

[24] And I thought I might reserve a

Page 32

[1] little bit of time to respond. I thought I had [2] heard that you had maybe set aside an hour and a [3] half per side.

[4] I don't think we need that much [5] time.

[6] THE COURT: What I had done, having [7] only quickly, or let me say not having looked at [8] the briefs, but now I've read them. I said that [9] I would maximize you at 90 minutes each.

[10] MR. SCHERKENBACH: Okay.

[11] THE COURT: I now had a chance to [12] fully digest the papers, and I was thinking what [13] was I thinking about giving them that long. But [14] I didn't know. So I wanted to be cautious so you [15] have some sense of planning.

[16] MR. SCHERKENBACH: Sure.

[17] THE COURT: But, you know, with the [18] time limits you are talking about, you are [19] talking about the same kind of time.

[20] MR. De BLANK: Yes, Your Honor.

[21] THE COURT: Okay. That sounds [22] reasonable. So we're okay.

[23] Yeah, sometimes, you know, you get [24] asked a quick question and you give

an answer

Page 33

[1] saying, Well, what would be the outside? And [2] then you read the papers. You think, What was I [3] thinking giving them that much time?

[4] MR. De BLANK: I didn't mean to [5] interrupt. I was just going to ask, just so the [6] parties can tailor their presentations to the [7] Court, is there any patent or issue when you read [8] the papers you thought deserved particular focus?

[9] THE COURT: No. I think I've said [10] this before, which Mr. Marsden and Mr. Balick [11] heard it, you know, probably.

[12] I am continually impressed with how [13] good the papers we get here are. You know, I'm [14] going to look at your amplification on your [15] papers, but the papers were really good.

[16] MR. De BLANK: Thank you.

[17] THE COURT: You know, I have to say, [18] I don't want you to feel too puffed up, but the [19] instances when you don't get good papers in the [20] patent cases, they stick out like sore thumbs. [21] Sore thumbs. That's how good the work is.

[22] I wish I could say that across the [23] board. But, no, your papers — I'm just going to [24] listen to what you want to emphasize and amplify,

Page 34

[1] and I don't have anything in particular in this [2] case.

[3] When I do, I usually tell you ahead [4] of time that there's something I saw that I have [5] not been able to come out of the darkness on. [6] But that's not the case here.

[7] MR. De BLANK: Okay.

[8] THE COURT: Okay.

[9] MR. SCHERKENBACH: Very good.

[10] THE COURT: We'll give your [11] entourage a chance to show up. We'll be back at [12] one o'clock.

[13] MR. MARSDEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

[14] THE CLERK: All rise.

[15] (Court was recessed at 12:35 p.m.)

Page 35

State of Delaware)
New Castle County)
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Heather M. Triozzi, Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing record, Pages 1 to 35 inclusive, is a true and accurate transcript of my stenographic notes taken on February 2, 2006, in the above-captioned matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 3rd day of February, 2006, at Wilmington.

Heather M. Triozzi, RPR, CSR

Exhibit C

**REDACTED
IN ITS ENTIRETY**

Exhibit D

**REDACTED
IN ITS ENTIRETY**